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#### Abstract

Line clipping operation is a bottleneck in most of computer graphics applications. There are situations when millions of line segments need to be clipped against convex polyhedrons with millions of facets. An algorithm to clip line segments against a convex polyhedron is proposed in this work. The salient feature of the proposed algorithm is that it minimizes the number of computations by ignoring unnecessary intersection calculations. The other advantage of the proposed algorithm is that it needs minimum details about the convex polyhedron; the equations of the facets and the centroid. Therefore, it improves the efficiency of the algorithm. The line segment may have zero length (a point) or positive length. When line segment is just a point which is outside with respect to at least one facet, it should be rejected as the line segment is outside the convex polyhedron. When the line segment is parallel to a facet and one of its end points is outside, that line segment is also completely outside and it should also be rejected. Unless the line segment belongs to none of the above two cases, it should be pruned against each facet in a certain order. In this case, the intersection points with only some of the facets need to be computed and some other intersection calculations can be ignored. If the line segment is completely outside then it becomes a single point. That means the two end points coincide. But due to the precision error they do not exactly coincide. Therefore, approximate equality should be tested. By using this property, completely outside line segments can be identified. Having two end points outside does not necessarily keep the line segment completely outside. The widely used Cyrus Beck algorithm computes all the intersection points with each facet of the polyhedron while the proposed algorithm successfully avoids some of the intersection point calculations. In the best case; it is capable of avoiding all the unnecessary intersection calculations. An experimental comparison between the Cyrus Beck algorithm and the proposed algorithm was carried out. Random polyhedrons were created with different number of facets. Random points were generated and they were considered as end points of line segments. For a given polyhedron, the number of clock cycles consumed to clip $10^{8}$ number of line segments was computed using the Cyrus Beck algorithm and the proposed algorithm. For a polyhedron with four vertices, the proposed algorithm is 1.02 times faster than the Cyrus Beck algorithm. For a polyhedron with nine vertices, the proposed algorithm is 1.16 times faster than the Cyrus Beck algorithm.


When the number of facets is large, then the performance of the proposed algorithm is significantly faster since more intersection calculations are avoided. The Skala algorithm is also an efficient algorithm which requires the order of facets also as the input to the algorithm. The proposed algorithm is faster than Skala algorithm when the number of facets of the polyhedron is less than 100 according to the experimental results. The proposed approach could be very useful for applications where large number of lines to be clipped. It can also be applied in linear programming as well since it can be extended to arbitrary dimensions.
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## 1 Introduction

In computer graphics, line clipping is one of the most important operations. The concept of line clipping is applied in many application areas. If a part of a given scene needs to be extracted then line clipping is used as a primitive operation to perform extraction (D. Hearn et al, 1998). Especially in medical applications certain regions needs to be clipped against polyhedrons (W. Huang, 2010).
The line clipping process is a bottleneck in many applications. Therefore, optimizing the performance of line clipping is very important to solve this problem. When spherical or cylindrical volumes are approximated using polyhedrons there will be a large number of facets in the resultant polyhedron (W. Huang, 2010). Then the line segment has lots of intersections with facets of that polyhedron. Calculation of an intersection point involves a higher computational cost and it has a significant impact on the performance of a clipping algorithm. The proposed algorithm uses a mechanism to avoid some of the intersection calculations. In the best case, it is capable of avoiding all the unnecessary intersection calculations.

## 2 Materials and Methods

In this section, the proposed line clipping algorithm is presented. The convex polyhedron is represented using the set of infinite planes formed by extending its facets. The number of facets of the convex polyhedron is stored in facets variables. The equation of a plane in general form is $a * x+b * y+c * z+d$ $=0$ where $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ and d are constants. Therefore, the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ facet can be represented as $\mathrm{a}[\mathrm{i}] * \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{i}] * \mathrm{y}+\mathrm{c}[\mathrm{i}] * \mathrm{z}+\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{i}]=0$, where $\mathrm{i}=1,2 \ldots$, (number of facets -1 ). The line segment in three dimensions can be represented by its end points. The end points are represented as (x[i],y[i], $z[i]$ ), where $i=0,1$. The coordinates of the Centroid ( $x c, y c, z c$ ) of the
clipping volume should be provided to the algorithm as an input. When the polyhedron is convex its Centroid lies inside it.

### 2.1 Mathematical background of the proposed algorithm

The general equation of a straight line joining the end points $(x[0], y[0], z[0])$ and ( $x[1], y[1], z[1])$ can be be written as,
$(x-x[0]) /(x[1]-x[0])=(y-y[0]) /(y[1]-y[0])=(z-z[0]) /(z[1]-z[0])=t$.
This can be rewritten in the following form.

```
x =x[0]+(x[1]-x[0])*t;
y = y[0] + (y[1] - y[0])*t;
z= z[0] + (z[1] - z[0]) * t.
```

The general equation of the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ facet is $\mathrm{a}[\mathrm{i}] * \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{i}] * \mathrm{y}+\mathrm{c}[\mathrm{i}] * \mathrm{z}+\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{i}]=0$. The intersection point of the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ plane and the given line segment (if exists), can be calculated as

```
a[i] * {x[0] + (x[1]-x[0])* t} + b[i]* {y[0] + (y[1] - y[0]) * t} + c[i] * {
z[0] + (z[1]-z[0])* t } + d[i] = 0
->a[i] * x[0] + b[i] * y[0] + c[i] * z[0] + d[i] + {(a[i] * x[1] + b[i] * y[1] +
c[i]* z[1]) - (a[i] * x[0] + b[i] * y[0] + c[i] * z[0]) } * t=0
-> p[0] + (p[1]-p[0])* t=0, where p[0] = a[i] * x[0] + b[i] * y[0] + c[i]*
z[0] + d[i] and p[1] =a[i] * x[1] + b[i]* y[1] + c[i]* z[1] + d[i]
t=p[0]/(p[0] - p[1])
```

Let $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{a}[\mathrm{i}] * \mathrm{xc}+\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{i}] * \mathrm{yc}+\mathrm{c}[\mathrm{i}] * \mathrm{zc}+\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{i}]$.
If $(\mathrm{p}[\mathrm{i}] * \mathrm{k}<0)$ then the $(\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{i}], \mathrm{y}[\mathrm{i}], \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{i}])$ is outside the convex polyhedron.

### 2.2 Pseudo Code of the Proposed Algorithm

```
FUNCTION: clip(a[], b[], c[], d[], facets, xc, yc, zc, x[], y[], z[])
BEGIN
IF {(x[0] = x[1]) AND (y[0]=y[1]) AND (z[0]=z[1])}
    FOR {i=0 TO i= facets -1}
            IF {(a[i]*x[0]+b[i]**y[0]+c[i]*z[0]+d[i])*(a[i]*xc+b[i]*yc+c[i]*zc+d[i])<0}
                                    GOTO line
            END IF
        END FOR
        SAVE (x[0], y[0], z[0]);
ELSE
        FOR {i=0 TO i= facets - 1}
            FOR {j=0 TO j=1}
                p[j] = a[i] * x[j] + b[i] * y[j] +c[i] * z[j] + d[i];
            END FOR
            k = a[i] * xc + b[i] * yc +c[i] * zc + d[i];
            IF {p[0]= p[1]}
                        IF (p[0] * k < 0)
            END IF
```

```
            ELSE
            t = p[0]/(p[0]-p[1]);
            L}=\textrm{x}[1]-\textrm{x}[0];\textrm{M}=\textrm{y}[1]-\textrm{y}[0];\textrm{N}=\textrm{z}[1]-\textrm{z}[0]
            x0 =x[0]; y0 = y[0]; z0 = z[0];
            FOR {j=0 TO j= 1}
                        IF {p[j] * k < 0 }
                                    x[j] = x0 + L * t;
                                    y[j] = y0 +M*t;
                                    z[j] = z0 + N * t;
                    END IF
            END FOR
            END IF
            IF {(abs(x[0]-x[1])<1) AND (abs(y[0]-y[1])<1) AND (abs(z[0]-z[1])<1)}
            GOTO line;
            END IF
        END FOR
        SAVE (x[0], y[0], z[0], x[1], y[1], z[1]);
END IF
line:
END
```


### 2.3 Analysis of the Proposed Algorithm

In this section, the line number of the pseudo code (within the parenthesis) is used for description.

The input line segment can have two possibilities. The line segment can be a point (Line 3) or a line segment with non-zero length (Line 10). If the line segment is just a point and it lies outside at least one facet it can be directly rejected. In this way, the computational cost can be reduced. If the line segment has a non-zero length then line segment should be pruned against each facet (Line 11). If the line segment is parallel to a facet then the intersection point does not exist. When $\mathrm{p}[0]=\mathrm{p}[1]$ the line segment is parallel to that facet (Line 16). In such cases, the facet is ignored, if the end points of the line segment lie inside the facet. And the line segment is ignored, if the end points lie outside the facet (Line 17 to Line 19).

The positions of end points after the line segment get pruned by each facet is dynamically calculated (Line 26 to Line 28). If the end points of the line segment coincides that means the line segment is completely outside with respect to the corresponding facet (Line 32), the original line segment is rejected as it is completely outside the clipping volume (S. R. Kodituwakku et al, 2013). Due to the precision error in computers testing exact equality is impossible. Therefore, approximate equality is tested. If abs $(x[1]-x[0])<1$ then it is assumed that $\mathrm{x}[1]=\mathrm{x}[0]$.

## 3 Results

In order to validate the proposed algorithm, it was experimentally compared against Cyrus Beck (CS535, 2012) and Skala (V. Skala, 1997) line clipping
algorithms. The three algorithms were implemented using C++ programming language and following hardware and software resources were used.

Computer: Intel(R) Pentium(R) Dual CPU; E2180 @ $2.00 \mathrm{GHz} ; 2.00 \mathrm{GHz}$, 0.98 GB of RAM; IDE: Turbo C++; Version 3.0; Copyright(c) 1990, 1992 by Borland International, Inc;

Let $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{CB}}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{SK}}$ and T are the execution times of Cyrus Beck algorithm, Skala algorithm and the proposed algorithm respectively. Then the coefficients of efficiency can be defined as; $\mathrm{v} 1=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{CB}} / \mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{v} 2=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{SK}} / \mathrm{T}$.

Random polyhedrons were created with different number of facets. The Table 1 shows some of those data. There Pn denotes a random polyhedron with n number of vertices. Random points were generated and they were considered as end points of line segment. The line generating space is a cube in which the volume is twice as that of the given polyhedron. Therefore the interior volume of the polyhedron is equal to the exterior volume of the polyhedron. The center of the polyhedron coincides with the center of the cube space. The purpose is to equally distribute the line segments in the space. For a given polyhedron, the number of clock cycles consumed to clip $10^{8}$ number of line segments was computed. And then corresponding efficiency coefficients v1 and v 2 were also computed.

Table 1. Number of clock cycles comparison for uniform line segments

| Polyhedron | Cyrus Beck | Skala | Proposed | v1 | v2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| P4 | 4388 | 5048 | 4321 | 1.0155 | 1.1682 |
| P5 | 4821 | 5492 | 4511 | 1.0687 | 1.2175 |
| P6 | 5178 | 5857 | 4761 | 1.0875 | 1.2302 |
| P7 | 5646 | 6328 | 4935 | 1.1440 | 1.2823 |
| P8 | 5905 | 6556 | 5144 | 1.1479 | 1.2745 |
| P9 | 6271 | 6892 | 5384 | 1.1647 | 1.2801 |

According to the set of polyhedrons shown in Table 1, the proposed algorithm is faster than both Cyrus Beck and Skala algorithms when line segments are generated randomly and uniformly in the space.

Depending on the position of line segments, they can be classified into three groups; line segment is completely outside the polyhedron, line segment is completely inside the polyhedron, line segment is intersecting the polyhedron.

The experiment was done with the same set of polyhedrons in Table 1 for $10^{8}$ number of random line segments generated outside the polyhedron. The results are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Number of clock cycles comparison for outside line segments

| Polyhedron | Cyrus Beck | Skala | Proposed | v1 | v2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| P4 | 1782 | 1318 | 1283 | 1.3889 | 1.0273 |
| P5 | 1971 | 1431 | 1657 | 1.1895 | 0.8667 |
| P6 | 2134 | 1523 | 1742 | 1.2250 | 0.8743 |
| P7 | 2317 | 1648 | 1887 | 1.2279 | 0.8733 |
| P8 | 2415 | 1702 | 1971 | 1.2253 | 0.8635 |
| P9 | 2578 | 1795 | 2068 | 1.2466 | 0.8680 |

According to the results shown in Table 2, the proposed algorithm is faster than the Cyrus Beck algorithm and slower than Skala algorithm when line segments are generated outside the polyhedron.

The experiment was done with the same set of polyhedrons in Table 1 for $10^{8}$ number of random line segments generated inside the polyhedron. The results are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Number of clock cycles comparison for inside line segments

| Polyhedron | Cyrus Beck | Skala | Proposed | v1 | v2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| P4 | 1694 | 1243 | 972 | 1.7428 | 1.2788 |
| P5 | 1867 | 1354 | 1276 | 1.4632 | 1.0611 |
| P6 | 2032 | 1429 | 1361 | 1.4930 | 1.0500 |
| P7 | 2208 | 1555 | 1489 | 1.4829 | 1.0443 |
| P8 | 2287 | 1591 | 1541 | 1.4841 | 1.0324 |
| P9 | 2453 | 1691 | 1629 | 1.5058 | 1.0381 |

According to the experimental results shown in Table 3, the proposed algorithm is faster than both Cyrus Beck and Skala algorithms when line segments are generated inside the polyhedron.

The experiment was done with the same set of polyhedrons in Table 1 for $10^{8}$ number of random line segments generated intersecting the polyhedron. The results are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Number of clock cycles comparison for intersecting line segments

| Polyhedron | Cyrus Beck | Skala | Proposed | v1 | v2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| P4 | 6371 | 6567 | 4754 | 1.3401 | 1.3814 |
| P5 | 6888 | 7142 | 6038 | 1.1408 | 1.1828 |
| P6 | 7317 | 7617 | 6446 | 1.1351 | 1.1817 |
| P7 | 7878 | 8223 | 6962 | 1.1316 | 1.1811 |
| P8 | 8191 | 8527 | 7215 | 1.1353 | 1.1818 |
| P9 | 8626 | 8961 | 7583 | 1.1375 | 1.1817 |

According to the experimental results shown in Table 4, the proposed algorithm is faster than both Cyrus Beck and Skala algorithms when line segments are generated intersecting the polyhedron.

The results shown in Table1, Table2, Table 3 and Table 4 indicate that the proposed algorithm is faster than the Cyrus Beck algorithm for any line segment. And the Skala algorithm is faster than the proposed algorithm only when the line segment is outside the polyhedron. The results in Table 2 and Table 3 indicate that the computational cost for clipping a line segment outside the polyhedron and the computational cost for clipping a line segment inside the polyhedron are approximately equal. Therefore the line segments can be divided into two fundamental categories: $0 \%$ intersections (line segment is either completely inside or completely outside the polyhedron) and $100 \%$ intersections (line segment is intersecting the polyhedron).

Then the algorithms were compared in order to observe what happens when the number of facets grows very large. Therefore convex polyhedrons were generated with N number of triangular facets (Skala, 1997). The number of clock cycles consumed to clip $10^{8}$ line segments with $0 \%$ intersections was measured for each polyhedron. The experimental results are shown in Table 5.

Table 5. 0\% intersections

| N | 10 | 20 | 50 | 100 | 200 | 500 | 1000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CB | 2751 | 7638 | 23831 | 50115 | 100852 | 255178 | 511887 |
| SK | 5805 | 8557 | 17720 | 22615 | 34841 | 82209 | 160752 |
| PP | 1902 | 3809 | 9515 | 19024 | 38052 | 95092 | 190192 |
| v1 | 1.4464 | 2.0053 | 2.5046 | 2.6343 | 2.6504 | 2.6835 | 2.6914 |
| v2 | 3.0521 | 2.2465 | 1.8623 | 1.1888 | 0.9156 | 0.8645 | 0.8452 |

The results in Table 5 show that the proposed algorithm is faster than Cyrus Beck algorithm for a polyhedron with any number of facets when line segments are generated either completely inside or completely outside the polyhedron. Also the proposed algorithm is faster than Skala algorithm in this situation only when the number of facets of the polyhedron is less than 100 .

For the same set of polyhedrons in Table 5, the experiment was carried out when there are $100 \%$ intersections. The results are shown in Table 6.

Table 6. $100 \%$ intersections

| N | 10 | 20 | 50 | 100 | 200 | 500 | 1000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CB | 3978 | 9473 | 24752 | 50731 | 102076 | 258237 | 516781 |
| SK | 16492 | 19561 | 28109 | 30863 | 42487 | 90481 | 160748 |
| PP | 2648 | 4621 | 9772 | 19151 | 38415 | 96134 | 191902 |
| v1 | 1.5023 | 2.0500 | 2.5330 | 2.6490 | 2.6572 | 2.6862 | 2.6929 |
| v2 | 6.2281 | 4.2331 | 2.8765 | 1.6116 | 1.1060 | 0.9412 | 0.8377 |

The results in Table 6 show that the proposed algorithm is faster than Cyrus Beck algorithm for a polyhedron with any number of facets when line segments are generated intersecting the polyhedron. Also the proposed algorithm is faster than Skala algorithm in this situation only when the number of facets of the polyhedron is less than 500.

## 3 Discussion

The Cyrus Beck algorithm needs to compute all the intersection points with each facet of the polyhedron (CS 535, 2012). But the proposed algorithm avoids some of those intersection points depending on the sequence each facet is met inside the FOR loop. Therefore theoretically the proposed algorithm is faster. When the number of facets increases the speed of the proposed algorithm is more significant since the number of avoided intersections points also increase.

Experimental results shown also suggest that the proposed algorithm is faster. The required inputs to the proposed algorithm are equations of the facets and the Centroid of the polyhedron. But for the Cyrus Beck algorithm, it needs even the vertices of the polyhedron.

The Skala algorithm uses the known order of facets as an extra input. The proposed algorithm is faster than the Skala algorithm when the number of facets is less than 100 .

The processing power of the CPU has the impact on the number of clock cycles needed to execute the algorithm. Doubling the processing power of the CPU will halve the execution time. This happens since all the three algorithms are sequential. All three algorithms use a single FOR loop to access each facet. Therefore the computational complexity of the three algorithms is $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N})$ where N is the number of facets. The Skala algorithm has the expected computational complexity O (sqrt ( N ) ) using the known order of facets. The asymptotic computational cost comparison does not work for this kind of algorithms since they have equal asymptotic computational cost for larger values of N . Therefore experimental comparison was used.

## 4 Conclusion

A novel algorithm to clip line segments against a convex polyhedron was proposed. The theoretical and experimental analyses proved that the proposed algorithm is faster than the Cyrus Beck algorithm for a polyhedron with any number of facets. And also the proposed algorithm is faster than the Skala
algorithm for polyhedrons in which the number of facets is less than 100. The algorithm can be successfully extended to arbitrary dimensions to clip line segments against convex polytopes. Then each facet should be represented as $\mathrm{a}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{a}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{2}+\ldots+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{b}=0$.
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